International Journal of Yoga, Physiotherapy and Physical Education
Online ISSN: 2456-5067; Impact Factor: RJIF 5.24
www.sportsjournal.in
Volume 2; Issue 4; July 2017; Page No. 11-13

Comparison of health related life style among different Indian professions in relation to intellectual wellness assessment

Praveen Singh Jadon

PhD Scholar, Lakshamibai National University of Physical Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract
Numerous research studies have established clear links between a positive state of mind and good physical health. There are many other studies that suggest deliberately cultivating a positive state of mind can help fight off ill health. Objective: - The purpose of this investigation was to Comparison of Health Related Life Style among Different Indian Professions in Relation to intellectual wellness Assessment. Method: - 800 males of MP were selected randomly as a subject of study. The age of the subjects were ranged from 30-40 years. Subjects were from different professions each group has 1 subjects. All the contents related to life style were assessed by using life style assessment inventory (LSAI). Results: - ANOVA was used to reveal the significance difference among Different Indian Professions in Relation to Alcohol &drug Assessment. Level of significant was set at 0.05. A significant difference was found among Different Indian Professions in Relation to intellectual wellness Assessment (F-value, 5.760 $p<0.05$). Conclusion: - It can be concluded that Intellectual wellness Assessment significantly differs among Different Indian Professions.

Keywords: intellectual wellness

Introduction

There is common belief that happy, possible people are healthier. Numerous research studies have established clear links between a positive state of mind and good physical health. There are many other studies that suggest deliberately cultivating a positive state of mind can help fight off ill health Much of his has to do with stress, the world now used to denote all land of pressures. But stress it self is not the ultimate culprit – it is how you cope with its matters. A certain amount of creative tension is a stimulus that can motivate and empower a person. However, too much pressure can create constant anger or worry, which in turn, can lower your resistance to illness.Norms are necessary in order to interpret test scores. In physical education norms may be based upon various combinations of age, height and weight. In this situation average scores are usually given with other values to indicate the significance of variance from this point. For example in use of height-weight tables usually an individual 10% below the average weight tables usually an individual 10% below the average weight for his age is considered to be under weight and if 20% above he is considered to obese by these norms. Norms are values representative of a particular population. Normative tables provide means to compare student's performance with larger representative population. These comparisons provide valuable information to assist teacher and student in determining the relationship of individual performance scores to scores of youth of the same age and gender. A healthy lifestyle is a valuable resource for reducing the incidence and impact of health problems, for recovery, for coping with life stressors, and for improving quality of life. However, convincing Canadian that health is a good investment, and providing guidance and incentives to create a culture that fosters health, are complex processes. How do we direct efforts to engage people in becoming and staying healthy? (Renne Lyons and Lynn Langille, April, 2000

Material and Methodology

Selection of Subject

For the purpose of present study 800 males of MP were selected randomly as a subject of study. The age of the subjects were ranged from 30-40 years. Subjects were from different professions i.e. 100 subjects from Doctors, 100 from Engineers, 100 from School Teachers, 100 from College/University Teachers, 100 from Businessman, 100 from Beurocrates, 100 from lawyers and 100 from Police services.

Selection of variables

After gleaning through all the scientific literature, journals, magazines available in the library of Lakshmibai National Institute of Physical Education, (Deemed University), Gwalior, M.P. and keeping feasibility criteria in mind following contents related to life style assessment were selected for the purpose of present study:

Criterion Measure

All the contents related to life style were assessed by using life style assessment inventory (LSA)

Procedure

The individual from various professions were consulted personally and their co-operation was solicited. Respondents were given a questionnaire with necessary instructions. Necessary instructions were passed on to the subjects before providing the questionnaire. The research scholar was motivated the student respondents by promising to send a separate abstract of the conclusions of his study to each of the subjects. Confidentially of responses were guaranteed so that the subject would not camouflage their real feelings. Research scholar was requested for filling the questionnaire as quickly as possible.

Statistical Procedure

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to see the difference among the different teams of volleyball players at the significant level of 05. For further analysis "Post Hoc Test" (LSD) was applied.

Result

The questionnaire comprised of 42 questions covering various aspects of mental toughness of universities volleyball (men) players. The findings of the present study are presented in the following tables:-

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of different professions (Doctors, Engineers, School Teachers, College/ University Teachers, Businessmen, Beaurocrates, Lawyers and Police Services) in relation to Intellectual Assessment

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Doctors	100	5742	57.42	27.82182
Engineers	100	3907	39.07	27.64152
School Teachers	100	7801	78.01	17.66657
College/ University Teachers	100	5921	59.21	28.18778
Businessmen	100	2484	24.84	5.044848
Beaurocrates	100	5822	58.22	29.44606
Lawyers	100	3857	38.57	28.79303
Police Services	100	5800	58	33.69697

The average and variance of Doctors 57.42± 27.82182 Engineers 39.07± 27.64152 School Teachers 78.01± 17.66657 College/ University Teachers 59.21± 28.18778 Businessmen 24.84± 5.044848 Beaurocrates 58.22± 29.44606 Lawyers 38.57± 28.79303 Police Services 58± 33.69697 in relation to Intellectual Assessment

Table 2: Analysis of Variance of intellectual wellness Assessment among Different individuals belonging to different professions on their selected Life Style Assessment Contents

Source of Variation	df	SS	MSS	F-ratio
Between Groups	7	191690	27384.29	1104.77*
Within Groups	792	19631.56	24.78732	

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

F 0.05 (7, 792) = 2.02

Table – II revealed that there was significant difference the individuals belonging to different professions on Stress Control Assessment, as obtained F-ratio was 1132.024, which was higher value than the value 2.02, required for F-ratio to be significant at 0.05 level with (7,792) degree of freedom.

Since the one way analysis of variance was found significant in relation to Stress Control Assessment, the least significant difference (LSD) test was applied to find out which of the differences of the means amongst the different professions were statistically significant.

Table 3: Least Significant Difference Post-Hoc Test for Means of All Professions in relation to of intellectual wellness Assessment

Doctors	Engineers	School Teachers	College/ University Teachers	Business men	Beauo-crates	Lawyers	Police services	M.D.	C.D
57.42	39.07							18.35*	1.380
57.42		78.01						20.59*	
57.42			59.21					1.79*	
57.42				24.84				32.58*	
57.42					58.22			0.8	
57.42						38.57		18.85*	
57.42							58	0.58	
	39.07	78.01						38.94*	
	39.07		59.21					20.14*	
	39.07			24.84				14.23*	
	39.07				58.22			19.15*	
	39.07					38.57		0.5	
	39.07						58	18.93*	
		78.01	59.21					18.8*	
		78.01		24.84				53.17*	
		78.01			58.22			19.79*	
		78.01				38.57		39.44*	
		78.01					58	20.01*	
			59.21	24.84				34.37*	
			59.21		58.22			0.99	
			59.21			38.57		20.64*	
			59.21				58	1.21	
				24.84	58.22			33.38*	
				24.84		38.57		13.73*	
				24.84			58	33.16*	
					58.22	38.57		19.65*	
					58.22		58	0.22	
						38.57	58	19.43*	

*Significant at .05 level.

It is evident from table – 16.1 that mean differences of different profession in relation to Intellectual Wellness Assessment was found to be significant between Doctors and Engineers, Doctors and School Teachers, Doctors and College/University Teachers, Doctors and Businessman, Doctors and Lawyers, Doctors and Police services, Engineers and School Teachers, Engineers and College/University Teachers, Engineers and Businessman, Engineers and Beaurocrates, Engineers and Police services, School Teachers and College/University Teachers, School Teachers and Businessman, School Teachers and Beaurocrates, School Teachers and Lawyers, School Teachers and Police services, College/University Teachers and Businessman, College/University Teachers and Lawyers, Businessman and Beaurocrates, Businessman and Lawyers, Businessman and Police services, Beaurocrates and Lawyers, Lawyers and Police services, at .05 level of confidence. Mean differences of different profession in relation to Intellectual Wellness Assessment was found to be insignificant between Doctors and Beaurocrates, Engineers and and Lawyers, College/University Teachers and Beaurocrates, College/University Teachers and Police services, Beaurocrates and Police services at .05 level of confidence.

Discussion

The analysis of data reveals that there were significant difference in Stress control assessment among the different profession individual as calculated F (1132.024) were greater than the tabulated F (2.02) respectively. After applying post hoc test as shown in table III it was found that there was significant difference among different professions individuals. As school teacher has the highest mean value (78.01). The significant differences in stress control assessment in various Professions individuals were probably due to the different nature of mental training and prerequisites components for the individual. Such results may also be due to change in climatic conditions, nature of job and may be due to the work pressure.

References

1. Mathews Donald K. Measurement in Physical Education, Philadelphia: WB. Saunders Co, 1978.
2. Peter David. Total Health London: Marshall Publication, 1988
3. Shields R. Spaces for the Subject of Consumption. In R. Shields (Ed.), Life Style Shopping the Subject of Consumption Routledge London Publisher, 1992.
4. Wynder Ernst. The Book of Health America: American Health Foundation, 1951.
5. Webster Third New International Dictionary Spring Field: G&C, Merrian Company, USA, 2271.
6. Angelina R, Wittich MA. Clustering of Multiple Healthy Lifestyle Habits and Health-Related Quality of Life among U.S. Adults with Diabetes" Health related life style, in Science. 2002, 695-698.
7. Armstrong Terri and Cohen Marlene Z. Complementary and Alternative Medicine Use and Quality of Life in Patients with Primary Brain Tumors. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management. 2006; 148-154.
8. Atsumi Kazuhiko. Evaluating complementary and alternative medicine by individual response, International Congress Series. 2006, 140-144.
9. Aubry, William E. Life Style Assessment: So What!. 1974, 13.
10. Carter AO, Saadi HF, Reed RL, Dunn EV. Assessment of obesity, lifestyle, and reproductive health needs of female citizens of Al Ain, United Arab Emirates. International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research. 1996, 486-506.
11. Cooper Wachs M, Diane L. Validating the Student Developmental Task and Lifestyle Assessment: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of College Student Development, 2002.
12. Contoyannis Paul and Jones Andrea M, "Socioeconomic Status, Health and Lifestyle", Am J Psychiatry. 1998, 232-238.
13. Coyne JC, Fiske V. Couples coping with chronic illness. In T. J. Akamatsu, J.C. Crowther, S. C. Hobfoll, & M. A. P. Stevens (Eds.)", Family health psychology. 1992, 129-149.
14. Frohlich KL, Pitvin L. Collective Life Style as the Target for Health Promotion. Canadian Journal for Health. 1999, 11-14.
15. Singh Surender. Normative Study of Motor Fitness Components of Classes nine & ten boys. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Jiwaji University, 1989.
16. Tyagi Sarita. Physical Fitness Norms for Boys and Girls in Grades nine through twelve of Delhi State, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Jiwaji University, 1993.